





Comparing Scores Across Localities



Section 2. Cross-Locality Comparison



Section 3. Cross-Locality Comparison



RAFT Score Comparison Table

	Lancaster Co.	Northumberland Co.	Richmond Co	Westmoreland Co.	Colonial Beach	Kilmarnock	Warsaw	White Stone
<u>1. Policy, Leadership, and Regulation</u>								
1.1: Locality Leadership and Planning for Resilience	100	100	75	75	50	25	50	50
1.2: Locality Leadership and Responding to an Emergency	100	100	100	100	100	100	75	75
1.3: Local Collaboration with State Agencies and PDCs	100	100	75	50	25	50	75	50
1.4: Adaptive Management	50	50	25	50	0	50	0	0
1.5: Community Rating System	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Section 1. Total Percentage	<u>70</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>35</u>
<u>2. Risk Assessment, Vulnerability, and Emergency</u>								
2.1: Flood Exposure and Vulnerability Assessment	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2.2: Risk Assessment for Vulnerable Populations	100	100	50	100	75	25	50	100
2.3: Business and Economic Risk Assessment	50	50	50	75	50	50	75	50
2.4: Hazard Mitigation	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
2.5 Resident Emergency Preparedness	50	25	100	75	25	50	50	25
Section 2. Total Percentage	<u>80</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>90</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>75</u>	<u>75</u>
<u>3. Future Risk and Current Infrastructure Assessment</u>								
3.1: Stormwater Infrastructure	25	0	0	25	0	25	50	25
3.2: Critical Transportation Infrastructure	100	50	75	75	50	50	50	50
3.3: Water Supply and Wastewater Management Services	75	75	50	50	50	50	50	50
3.4: Critical Infrastructure for Emergency Services	50	50	100	100	50	50	50	50
3.5: Natural and Nature-Based Features	100	75	50	75	75	75	75	50
Section 3. Total Percentage	<u>70</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>45</u>
<u>4. Planning for Resilience</u>								
4.1: Budget, Funding, State, and Federal Assistance	25	75	25	25	75	50	100	25
4.2: Coastal Resiliency in Comprehensive Plan	75	100	75	75	75	50	25	0
4.3: Land Use Regulations	50	100	50	100	50	50	67	0
4.4: Incentives for Coastal Resilience	50	50	0	0	25	0	75	0
4.5: Natural Resource Preservation	100	100	75	50	75	75	100	50
Section 4. Total Percentage	<u>60</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>5. Community Engagement for Resilience</u>								
5.1: Public Involvement in Resilience Planning	50	25	25	0	50	25	50	25
5.2: Providing Coastal Resilience Information to the Public	75	50	75	75	75	75	75	0
5.3: Citizen Leadership and Volunteer Networks for Coastal Resilience	100	100	0	0	25	50	100	0
5.4: Resilient Systems to Provide Food, Health, and Medicine	100	100	100	75	100	100	100	100
5.5: Physical and Mental Health For Social Equity in Community Resilience	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Section 5. Total Percentage	<u>65</u>	<u>55</u>	<u>40</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>Total RAFT Score (Percentage)</u>	69	67	55	58	54	51	62	39